

Ref: : BHE/PW/PUR/STP-CP/3215/Corg-5

Date: 16-02-2026

To,

ALL BIDDERS

Subject: Corrigendum-05: Bid Due Date Extension & Revised Clause 9 : Assessment of Capacity of Bidder

E-Tender Spec No: BHE/PW/PUR/STP-CP/3215

JOB Description :

Design, Supply, Erection and Commissioning of Construction Power Equipment (Area Lighting, High Masts, Underground/Overhead Lines, HT/LT Cables, Civil Works etc. under Package-A) and Operation & Maintenance of Ring Main System under Package-B for Construction Power of 1×660 MW SATPURA Project: **Bid Due Date Extension**

Bidders to kindly take note of the following:

AA) Bid submission end date and Bid Opening Date has been amended as mentioned in following table:

Existing Bid Submission End Date	16-02-2026, 13:00 Hrs
Existing Bid Opening Date	16-02-2026, 17:00 Hrs
Revised Bid Submission End Date	19-02-2026, 13:00 Hrs
Revised Bid Opening Date	19-02-2026, 17:00 Hrs

BB) Revised Clause 9 of NIT

Revised Clause 9 of NIT : Assessment of Capacity of Bidder is attached as Annexure-1

BIDDERS MAY PLEASE NOTE THAT SUBJECT TENDER IS E-TENDER AND THE OFFER IS TO BE SUBMITTED ONLY IN E-PROCUREMENT PORTAL → <https://eprocurebhel.co.in>.

Thanking you,
Yours faithfully,

GM (Purchase)

Revised Clause 9 of NIT9.0 Assessment of Capacity of Bidders:

Bidder's capacity for executing the job under tender shall be assessed based on its 'LOAD and PERFORMANCE' and 'TURNOVER', as per the following:

I. LOAD: Load takes into consideration ALL the contracts of the Bidder under execution with BHEL Regions, irrespective of whether they are similar to the tendered scope or not. The cut off month for reckoning 'Load' shall be the last Month preceding the month corresponding to the 'latest date of bid submission', in the following manner -

(Note: For example, if latest bid submission is in Jan 2026, then the 'load' shall be calculated up to and inclusive of Dec 2025)

Total number of LOAs in hand = Load (P)

Where 'P' is the sum of all LOAs under execution with BHEL Regions as on the cut off month defined above, excepting LOAs which are on Long Hold, or yet to start, or whose evaluation has been completed upto last month preceding the month corresponding to the 'latest date of bid submission'.

For example, if latest bid submission is in Jan 2026, then the

- i) LOA with start date in Dec-2025 shall be considered in Load.
- ii) LOA with finish date in Dec-2025 shall not be considered in Load.

II. PERFORMANCE: Here 'Monthly Performance' of the bidder for all the packages (under execution/ executed during the 'Period of Assessment' in all Power Sector Regions of BHEL) SIMILAR to the packages covered under the tendered scope, excepting packages not commenced shall be taken into consideration. The 'Period of Assessment' shall be 6 months preceding and including the cut off month. The cut off month for reckoning 'Period of Assessment' shall be the 2nd Month preceding the month corresponding to 'latest date of bid submission', in the following manner:

(Note: For example, if 'latest date of bid submission' is in Jan 2026, then the 'performance' shall be assessed for a 6 months' period up to and inclusive of Nov 2025 (i.e. from June 2025 to Nov 2025), for all the unit wise identified packages (refer Table I))

i). Calculation of Overall 'Performance Rating' for 'Similar Package/Packages' for the tendered scope under execution at Power Sector Regions for the 'Period of Assessment':

This shall be obtained by summing up the 'Monthly Performance Evaluation' scores obtained by the bidder in all Regions for all the similar Package/packages', divided by the total number of Package months for which evaluation should have been done, as per procedure below:

a) $P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5, \dots, P_N$ etc. be the packages (under execution/ executed during the 'Period of Assessment' in all Regions of BHEL) SIMILAR to the packages covered under the tendered scope, excepting packages not commenced. Total number of similar packages for all Regions = P_T (i.e. $P_T = P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4 + \dots + P_N$)

b) Number of Months ' T_1 ' for which 'Monthly Performance Evaluation' as per relevant formats, should have been done in the 'Period of Assessment' for the corresponding similar package P_1 . Similarly T_2 for package P_2, T_3 for package P_3 , etc. for the tendered scope. Now calculate cumulative total months ' T_T ' for total similar Packages ' P_T ' for all Regions (i.e. $T_T = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4 + \dots + T_N$)

c) Sum ' S_1 ' of 'Monthly Performance Evaluation' Scores ($S_{1-1}, S_{1-2}, S_{1-3}, S_{1-4}, S_{1-5}, \dots, S_{1-T_1}$) for similar package P_1 , for the 'period of assessment' ' T_1 ' (i.e. $S_1 = S_{1-1} + S_{1-2} + S_{1-3} + S_{1-4} + S_{1-5} + \dots + S_{1-T_1}$). Similarly, S_2 for package P_2 for period T_2, S_3 for package P_3 for period T_3 etc. for the tendered scope for all Regions. Now calculate cumulative sum ' S_T ' of 'Monthly Performance Evaluation' Scores for total similar Packages ' P_T ' for all Regions (i.e. ' $S_T = S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4 + S_5 + \dots + S_N$).

d) Overall Performance Rating 'R_{BHEL}' for the Similar Package/Packages (under execution/ executed during the 'Period of Assessment') in all the Power Sector Regions of BHEL

Aggregate of Performance scores for all similar packages in all the Regions

= -----

Aggregate of months for each of the similar packages for which performance should have been evaluated in all the Regions

$$= \frac{S_T}{T_T}$$

e) Bidders to note that the risk of non-evaluation or non-availability of the 'Monthly Performance Evaluation' reports as per relevant formats is to be borne by the Bidder.

f) Table showing methodology for calculating 'a', 'b' and 'c' above

Sl. No.	Item Description	Details for all Regions							Total
		(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)	
1	Similar Packages for all Regions (under execution/ executed during period of assessment)	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	...	P _N	Total No. of similar packages for all Regions = P _T i.e. Sum (Σ) of columns (iii) to (ix)
2	Number of Months for which 'Monthly Performance Evaluation' as per relevant formats should have been done in the 'period of assessment' for corresponding Similar Packages (as in row 1)	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	...	T _N	Sum (Σ) of columns (iii) to (ix) = T _T
3	Monthly performance scores for the corresponding period (as in Row 2)	S ₁₋₁ , S ₁₋₂ , S ₁₋₃ , S ₁₋₄ , ...	S ₂₋₁ , S ₂₋₂ , S ₂₋₃ , S ₂₋₄ , ...	S ₃₋₁ , S ₃₋₂ , S ₃₋₃ , S ₃₋₄ , ...	S ₄₋₁ , S ₄₋₂ , S ₄₋₃ , S ₄₋₄ , ...	S ₅₋₁ , S ₅₋₂ , S ₅₋₃ , S ₅₋₄ ,	S _{N-1} , S _{N-2} , S _{N-3} , S _{N-4} , ...	-----
4	Sum of Monthly Performance scores of the corresponding Package for the corresponding period (as in row-3)	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	...	S _N	Sum (Σ) of columns (iii) to (ix) = S _T

ii). Calculation of Overall 'Performance Rating' (R_{BHEL}) in case at least 6 evaluation scores for 'similar Package/Packages' for the tendered scope ARE NOT AVAILABLE, during the 'Period of Assessment':

This shall be obtained by summing up the 'Monthly Performance Evaluation' scores obtained by the bidder in all Regions for ALL the packages, divided by the total number of Package months for which evaluation should have been done. 'R_{BHEL}' shall be calculated subject to availability of 'performance scores' for at least 6 'package months' in the order of precedence below:

- 'Period of Assessment' i.e. 6 months preceding and including the cut-off month
- 12 months preceding and including the cut-off month
- 24 months preceding and including the cut-off month

In case, R_{BHEL} cannot be calculated as above, then Bidder shall be treated as 'NEW VENDOR'. Further eligibility and qualification of this bidder shall be as per definition of 'NEW VENDOR' described in 'Explanatory Notes'.

iii). Factor "L" assigned based on Overall Performance Rating (R_{BHEL}) at Power Sector Regions:

Sl. no.	Overall Performance Rating (R_{BHEL})	Corresponding Value of 'L'
1	≥ 60 and < 62	NA
2	≥ 62	0.45

iv). Performance Systems: The performance rating as mentioned in II (i) and (ii) above, shall be calculated as per Online Systems i.e. Contractor Performance Evaluation System (CPES) and Safety Performance Evaluation System (HSEPES). The scores assigned in HSEPES shall be scaled down to 10 and assigned in CPES against the category "HSE" (mentioned in Form F-15).

III. i) 'Assessment of Capacity based on 'LOAD and PERFORMANCE':

a) 'Assessment of Capacity of Bidder' is based on the Maximum number of LOAs for which a vendor is eligible, considering the performance scores of similar packages, as below:

Max number of-LOA $P_{Max} = (R_{BHEL} - 60)$ divided by corresponding value of 'L', i.e. $(R_{BHEL} - 60)/L$

Note:

i). In case the value of P_{Max} results in a fraction, the value of P_{Max} is to be rounded off to next whole number

ii). For $R_{BHEL} \geq 60$ and < 62 , $P_{Max} = '5'$

The Bidder shall be considered 'Qualified' on 'Performance basis' as per 'Assessment of Capacity of Bidder' for the subject Tender if $P \leq P_{Max}$

(Where P is calculated as per clause 'I' above)

b) In addition to above, the contractor shall be considered disqualified for ongoing tender(s) of BHEL, in case contractor fails to obtain an overall average score of 6 or more than 6 (six) (in the scaled down scores of HSEPES) out of 10 considered for Performance Rating as per sl no II (ii), in a period of '6 months preceding and including the cut-off month'. The period of '6 months preceding and including the cut-off month' can be extended to 12 months and further to 24 months, to account for 'performance scores' for at least 6 'package months'. Bidders who did not qualify this condition shall not be considered under the provisions of clause 9 IV (iv) of NIT.

ii) Assessment of Capacity based on 'TURNOVER':

If the 'value of contracts in hand' across all PS Regions by a contractor is less than the product of "maximum of 'annual average turnover for last 3 years' or 'latest FY turnover' of the Contractor and multiplying factor", then such bidder shall be considered qualified on 'Annual Average Turnover basis'. The 'value of contracts in hand' will be computed by summing up "50% of the annualized awarded value of each contract" unless performance evaluation is not closed in the online CPES.

Based on the performance rating of the Contractor, the above mentioned multiplying factor shall be as below:

Sl. no.	Overall Performance Rating (R_{BHEL})	(Multiplying factor to Turnover)
1	< 60	0
2	≥ 60 and ≤ 62	1.0
3	> 62 and ≤ 65	1.5
4	> 65 and ≤ 70	2.0
5	> 70 and ≤ 75	2.5
6	> 75 and ≤ 80	3.0
7	> 80	3.5

‘Assessment of Capacity of Bidder’:

The bidder will be considered qualified for the tender if it qualifies on ‘Load and Performance basis’ as well as on ‘Average Annual Turnover basis’.

However, ‘Consortium Partner’ shall be considered qualified based on only ‘Overall Performance Rating ≥ 60 ’ (they will be regarded pre-qualified on other criteria).

IV. Explanatory note:

i). Similar package means Boiler or ESP or Piping or Turbine or Civil or Structure or Electrical or C&I etc. at the individual level irrespective of rating of Plant and irrespective of whether the subject tender is a single package or as part of combined/composite packages. Normally Boiler, ESP, Piping, Turbine, Electrical, C&I, Civil, Structure etc. is considered individual level of package. For example, in case the tendered scope is a Boiler Vertical Package comprising of Boiler, ESP and Power Cycle Piping (i.e. the ‘identified packages as per Table-1 below), the ‘PERFORMANCE’ part against sl.no. II above, needs to be evaluated considering all the identified packages (i.e. Boiler, ESP and Power Cycle Piping) and finally the Bidder’s capacity to execute the tendered scope is assessed in line with III above.

ii). Identified Packages (Unit wise)

Table-1

Civil	Electrical and C&I	Mechanical
i). Enabling works ii). Pile and Pile Caps iii). Civil Works including foundations iv). Structural Steel Fabrication & Erection v). Chimney vi). Cooling Tower vii). Others (Civil)	i). Electrical ii). C&I iii). Others (Elect. and C&I) iv). Electrical Enabling Works	i). Boiler & Aux (All types including CW Piping if applicable) ii). Power Cycle Piping/Critical Piping iii). ESP iv). LP Piping v). Steam Turbine Generator set & Aux vi). Gas Turbine Generator set & Aux vii). Hydro Turbine Generator set & Aux viii). Turbo Blower (including Steam Turbine) ix). Material Management x). FGD xi). ACC xii). Others (Mechanical)

iii). Bidders who have not been evaluated for at least six package months in the last 24 months preceding and including the Cut-off month in the online BHEL system for contractor performance evaluation in BHEL PS Regions, shall be considered “NEW VENDOR”.

A ‘NEW VENDOR’ shall be considered qualified subject to satisfying all other tender conditions.

A ‘NEW VENDOR’ if awarded a job (of package/ packages identified under this clause) shall be tagged as “FIRST TIMER” on the date of first LOA from BHEL.

The “FIRST TIMER” tag shall remain till completion of all the contracts against which vendor has been tagged as First Timer or availability of 6 evaluation scores within last 24 months preceding and including the Cut-off month in the online BHEL system for contractor performance evaluation in BHEL PS Regions.

A Bidder shall not be eligible for the next job as long as the Bidder is tagged as “FIRST TIMER” excepting for the Tenders which have been opened on or before the date of the bidder being tagged as ‘FIRST TIMER’.

After removal of ‘FIRST TIMER’ tag, the Bidder shall be considered ‘QUALIFIED’ for the future tenders subject to satisfying all tender conditions including ‘Assessment of Capacity of Bidders’. However, in case any evaluation scores are available in the

last 24 months preceding and including the Cut-off month for a 'First Timer', the bidder shall be considered qualified only if the average of the available evaluation scores being ≥ 60 and qualified on other parameters i.e Load, HSE and Turnover.

iv). Consequent upon applying the criteria of 'Assessment of Capacity of Bidders' detailed above on all the bidders qualified against Technical and Financial Qualification criteria, if the number of qualified bidders reduces to less than four, then for further processing of the Tender, BHEL at its discretion reserves the right to also consider the bidders who are "not qualified" as per criteria of 'Assessment of Capacity of Bidders', excluding the bidders disqualified on basis of HSE (as per Sl. No. III (b)), and for this, procedure described in following four options shall be followed:

a) All the bidders having Overall Performance Rating (R_{BHEL}) ≥ 60 shall be considered qualified against criteria of 'Assessment of Capacity of Bidders'.

b) If even after using option "a", the number of qualified bidders remains less than four, then in addition to bidders considered as per option "a", "First timer" bidders having average of available performance scores ≥ 60 upto and including the Cut Off month shall also be considered qualified against criteria of 'Assessment of Capacity of Bidders'.

c) If even after using option "a" and "b", the number of qualified bidders remains less than four, then in addition to bidders considered as per option "a" and "b", "First timer" bidders for whom no performance score is available in the system upto and including the Cut Off month, shall also be considered qualified against criteria of 'Assessment of Capacity of Bidders'.

d) If even after using option "a", "b" and "c" the number of qualified bidders remains less than four, remaining bidders shall be "listed" as per their descending order of Overall Performance Rating (R_{BHEL}) or average of available performance scores for "First timer" bidders upto and including the Cut Off month.

Bidders as per option "a", "b", "c" and additional top bidders as "listed" above shall be considered qualified against criteria of 'Assessment of Capacity of Bidders' to make the total numbers of qualified bidders as four.

In case, from the "listed" bidders, more than 1 "listed" bidder are having the same average performance score, then all such bidders shall be considered.

Example-

If 3 bidders are considered from "a", "b" and "c".

From remaining "listed" bidders, 3 top bidders have same average performance scores. Then all 3 "listed" bidders shall be considered.

Note:- In case, the number of bidders qualified against Technical and Financial Qualification criteria itself is less than four, then all bidders shall be considered qualified against criteria of 'Assessment of Capacity of Bidders' for further processing of tender.

v). 'Under execution' shall mean works in progress as per the following:

a. Up to execution of 90% of anticipated Contract Value in case of Civil/ MM/ Structural/ Turbo Blower Packages

b. Up to Steam Blowing in case of Boiler/ ESP/ Piping Packages

c. Up to Synchronization in all Balance Packages

vi). Contractor shall provide the latest contact details i.e. mail-ID and Correspondence Address to SCT Department, so that same can be entered in the Contractor Performance Evaluation System, and in case of any change/discrepancy same shall be informed immediately. Login Details for viewing scores in Contractor Performance Evaluation System shall be provided to the Contractor by SCT Department.

vii). Performance Evaluation for Activity Month shall be completed in Evaluation Month (i.e. month next to Activity Month) or in rare cases in Post Evaluation Month (i.e. month next to Evaluation Month) after approval from Competent Authority. In case scores are not acceptable, Contractor can submit Review Request to GM Site/ GM Project latest by 27th of Evaluation Month or 5 days after approval of score, whichever is later. However, acceptance/rejection of 'Review Request' solely depends on the discretion of GM Site/GM Project. After acceptance of Review Request, evaluation score shall be reviewed at site and the score after completion of review process shall be acceptable and binding on the contractor.

viii). Project on Hold due to reasons not attributable to bidder -

a. Short hold: Evaluation shall not be applicable for this period, however, Loading will be considered.

b. Long hold: Short hold for continuous six months and beyond or hold on account of Force Majeure shall be considered as Long Hold. Evaluation as well as Loading shall not be considered for this period.

Performance evaluation as specified above in this clause is applicable to Prime bidder and Consortium partner (or Technical tie up partner) for their respective scope of work